

Resp copy to CPO.

Thakur.

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH**

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/2728 /2016  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,  
Free Press Journal Marg,  
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 29 JUL 2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 762 OF 2016.**

**(Sub :- Deemed Date of Promotion & Decide The Representation)**

- 1 Mr. Popatrao B. Lokhande,  
R/at. Maharashtra Housing Board, M25/2219, Near Ishanya Mall, Yerwada  
Pune-6.

.....**APPLICANT/S.**

**VERSUS**

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, Through  
The Addl. Chief Secretary, Home  
Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai.
- 2 The D.G. of Police, M.S., S.B. Marg  
Mumbai.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of **July, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri K.R. Jagdale, Advocate for the Applicant.  
Shri K.B. Bhise, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).**

DATE : **28.07.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

*Malik*  
29/7/2016

**Research Officer,  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,  
Mumbai.**

6  
2

Tribunal's orders

O.A.762/2016

**Shri P.B. Lokhande** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

This OA can be disposed of at this stage itself. I make it clear that the learned P.O. Shri Bhise wanted some time to ascertain as to how much time, the concerned Secretary would take to decide the representation.

A very detailed statement of facts is really not necessary because of the nature of the order that I propose to make. The Applicant has been moving the authorities in respect of his claim for deemed date. He has made two representations dated 19.8.2015 and 29.1.2016, the latest one being six months old and the earlier one about more than ten months. I am told that the Applicant is set to retire this month end. Now, in my opinion, in order to render meaningful justice to its own employee who has rendered years of service, the decision ought to have been taken in respect of the first representation itself so as to obviate any other representation and even now, if time is being sought to ascertain the period that would be required would be to rub salt on the wound. I dispose of this OA with a direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the pending representations dated 19.8.2015 and 29.1.2016 within four weeks from today and communicate the decision to the Applicant within one ~~month~~ <sup>week</sup>. No order as to costs. Hamdast.

*sd/* *28.7.16*  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
28.07.2016

(skw)

TRUE COPY

*Amal*  
*29/7/2016*  
Asstt. Registrar/Research Officer  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Mumbai.